

MEMORIA ANTIQUITATIS

Neamț National Museums Complex

Peer review Formulary

1. First Name and Last Name (of the referent):

Email (of the referent):

2. Title of the article/paper:

3. Author(s):

4. Evaluation of the article/paper: [From 1 (very bad) to 5 (excellent)]

| | Points |
|---|--------|
| The title is relevant and emphasizes the topic of the paper | |
| The abstract synthesizes the body of the paper | |
| Paper fits the chosen topic and is scientifically relevant | |
| Paper is coherent, the mean to approach the topic is adequate | |
| Paper shows originality | |
| Conclusions stand for a relevant synthesis of the research's results | |
| Paper has adequate bibliographical sources, both recent and complete | |
| Paper has an academic language, does not show writing or grammar errors | |
| Illustrations quality | |
| Total | |

5. Commentaries for the editor:

6. Commentaries for the author(s):

7. Recommendations:

Please acknowledge your will to present your name to the author(s): YES / NO

Data:

Referent's Name and signature: